

# Synthesis and Structural Characterization of Five-Coordinate Aluminum Complexes Containing Diarylamido Diphosphine Ligands

# Pei-Ying Lee and Lan-Chang Liang\*

Department of Chemistry and Center for Nanoscience & Nanotechnology, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung 80424, Taiwan

Received October 28, 2008

A series of five-coordinate aluminum complexes supported by o-phenylene-derived amido diphosphine ligands,  $[N(o-C_6H_4PR_2)_2]^-$  ([R-PNP]<sup>-</sup>; R = Ph, 'Pr) and  $[N(o-C_6H_4PPh_2)(o-C_6H_4P'Pr_2)]^-$  ([Ph-PNP-'Pr]<sup>-</sup>), have been prepared and structurally characterized. Alkane elimination reactions of trialkylaluminum with H[Ph-PNP] (1a), H['Pr-PNP] (1b), and H[Ph-PNP-'Pr] (1c) in toluene at -35 °C respectively produced the corresponding dialkyl complexes [Ph-PNP]AIR<sub>2</sub>, ['Pr-PNP]AIR<sub>2</sub>, and [Ph-PNP-<sup>i</sup>Pr]AIR<sub>2</sub> (R = Me (2a-c), Et (3a-c), 'Bu (4a-c)) in high isolated yield. The dihydride complexes [Ph-PNP]AIH2 (6a), ['Pr- PNP]AIH2 (6b), and [Ph-PNP-'Pr]AIH2 (6c) were prepared in one-pot reactions of in situ prepared dichloride precursors (5a-c) with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> in THF at room temperature. X-ray diffraction studies of 2a-c, 3b-c, 5b, and 6b revealed a distorted trigonal-bipyramidal structure for these molecules in which the two phosphorus donors are mutually trans. The solution structures of these organoaluminum complexes were all characterized by <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy. The NMR data are indicative of solution  $C_2$  symmetry for [Ph-PNP]<sup>-</sup> and [<sup>*i*</sup>Pr-PNP]<sup>-</sup> complexes, whereas they are indicative of  $C_1$  for [Ph-PNP-<sup>*i*</sup>Pr]<sup>-</sup> derivatives. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **3a**-c and **4a**-c revealed diastereotopy for the  $\alpha$ -hydrogen atoms in these molecules.

# Introduction

Recent studies on aluminum chemistry have been focused, at least in part, on the search for appropriate ancillary ligands for reactive organoaluminum species due to their increasing role in catalytic polymerization reactions.<sup>1</sup> Much attention has been paid to chelating systems that contain exclusively hard donor atoms.<sup>2-12</sup> Studies involving hybrid chelating ligands incorporating both hard and soft donors, however,

- Coles, M. P.; Jordan, R. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 8125–8126.
   Dagorne, S.; Atwood, D. A. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 4037–4071.
- (3) Zhu, H.; Chen, E. Y.-X. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 1481-1487.
- (4) Zhao, J.; Song, H.; Cui, C. Organometallics 2007, 26, 1947-1954.
- (5) Bai, G.; Singh, S.; Roesky, H. W.; Noltemeyer, M.; Schmidt, H. G. J.
- (a) Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 3449-3455.
   (b) Hormnirun, P.; Marshall, E. L.; Gibson, V. C.; White, A. J. P.;
- Williams, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 2688–2689.
   (7) Liang, L.-C.; Yang, C.-W.; Chiang, M. Y.; Hung, C.-H.; Lee, P.-Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 679, 135-142.
- (8) Yu, R.-C.; Hung, C.-H.; Huang, J.-H.; Lee, H.-Y.; Chen, J.-T. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 6450-6455.
- (9) Schmidt, J. A. R.; Arnold, J. *Organometallics* 2002, *21*, 2306–2313.
  (10) Korolev, A. V.; Ihara, E.; Guzei, I. A.; Young, V. G.; Jordan, R. F. J.
- Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 8291-8309. (11) Cosledan, F.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F. Chem. Commun. 1999,
- 705-706. (12) Qian, B. X.; Ward, D. L.; Smith, M. R. Organometallics 1998, 17,
- 3070-3076.
- (13) Fryzuk, M. D.; Giesbrecht, G. R.; Olovsson, G.; Rettig, S. J. Organometallics 1996, 15, 4832-4841
- (14) Fryzuk, M. D.; Giesbrecht, G. R.; Rettig, S. J. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 6928-6934.

remain relatively unexplored.<sup>13–15</sup> In this regard, we have recently prepared a series of mononuclear aluminum complexes of bidentate [NP]<sup>-</sup> and tridentate [PNN]<sup>-</sup> ligands (Figure 1) that are amido phosphine derivatives.<sup>16,17</sup> Though available, examples of aluminum complexes containing tridentate amido phosphine ligands are extremely rare.13,17 Pioneering work by Fryzuk and co-workers revealed that phosphine dissociation from certain silyl-derived AlX<sub>2</sub>[N  $(SiMe_2CH2P'Pr_2)_2$  complexes may become facile, thereby leading to the formation of four- instead of five-coordinate species.13

We have recently shown that incorporation of a relatively rigid o-phenylene backbone in the amido diphosphine ligands may inhibit phosphine dissociation, at least to some degree, and thus effectively increase the thermal stability of the derived metal complexes.<sup>18–23</sup> It has also been demonstrated

(15) Coles, M. P.; Swenson, D. C.; Jordan, R. F.; Young, V. G. Organometallics **1998**, *17*, 4042–4048. (16) Liang, L.-C.; Huang, M.-H.; Hung, C.-H. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*,

2166-2174.

- (17) Lee, W.-Y.; Liang, L.-C. Dalton Trans. 2005, 1952–1956.
   (18) Liang, L.-C. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 1152–1177.

(19) Liang, L.-C.; Chien, P.-S.; Lin, J.-M.; Huang, M.-H.; Huang, Y.-L.; Liao, J.-H. Organometallics 2006, 25, 1399-1411.

- (20) Liang, L.-C.; Lin, J.-M.; Lee, W.-Y. Chem. Commun. 2005, 2462-2464.
- (21) Liang, L.-C.; Chien, P.-S.; Huang, M.-H. Organometallics 2005, 24, 353-357.
- (22) Huang, M.-H.; Liang, L.-C. Organometallics 2004, 23, 2813-2816.

(23) Liang, L.-C.; Lin, J.-M.; Hung, C.-H. Organometallics 2003, 22, 3007-3009

<sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: lcliang@mail. nsysu.edu.tw



Figure 1. Representative chelating amido phosphine ligands

that the reactivity of these diarylamido diphosphine complexes is a function of electronic and steric characteristics of substituents at the phosphorus donors.<sup>24–26</sup> For instance, the reactivity of unsymmetrically substituted nickel hydride complex [Ph-PNP<sup>i</sup>Pr]NiH ([Ph-PNP<sup>-i</sup>Pr]<sup>-</sup>  $[N(o-C_6H_4PPh_2)(o-C_6H_4P'Pr_2)]^{-})$  with respect to olefin insertion is inferior to that of symmetrically substituted [Ph-PNP]NiH ([Ph-PNP]<sup>-</sup> =  $[N(o-C_6H_4PPh_2)_2]^-$ ) but superior to that of ['Pr-PNP]NiH.<sup>25</sup> In an effort to expand the territory of aluminum chemistry and evaluate the possibility of catalytic polymerization thereafter, we have set out to prepare a series of diarylamido diphosphine complexes of aluminum. In this contribution, we aim to illustrate the coordination chemistry of these aluminum species, taking advantage of the rigidity imposed by the o-phenylene backbone. In accord with a computational study on related species that contain a tolyl-derived ligand,<sup>27</sup> the solution NMR spectroscopic and X-ray crystallographic data reported herein are all indicative of a five-coordinate structure for these aluminum complexes.

## **Results and Discussion**

Syntheses. Alkane elimination reactions of H[Ph-PNP<sup>19,23</sup> (1a) with AlR<sub>3</sub> in toluene at -35 °C produced the corresponding dialkyl complexes [Ph-PNP]- $AlR_2$  (R = Me (2a), Et (3a), <sup>*i*</sup>Bu (4a)) in high isolated yields (Scheme 1). Analogous reactions employing  $H['Pr-PNP]^{19}$  (1b) or  $H[Ph-PNP-'Pr]^{25}$  (1c) gave  $[^{i}Pr-PNP]AIR_{2}$  (2b-4b) and  $[Ph-PNP-^{i}Pr]AIR_{2}$  (2c-4c), respectively. These organoaluminum complexes were all isolated as colorless or pale-yellow crystals following standard workup procedures. Interestingly, no THF or Et<sub>2</sub>O adduct was formed in spite of the employment of these ethereal solvents in crystallization, consistent with the prediction that phosphine dissociation is not significant.18-23,27

Preparation of dihydride complexes was also achieved. The presumed dichloride precursors 5a-c may be prepared in situ either from the metathetical reactions of AlCl<sub>3</sub> with the corresponding lithium complexes of the amido diphosphine ligands in THF at -35 °C or by the treatment of MeAlCl<sub>2</sub> with the corresponding protio ligands in toluene at -35 °C. Though not isolated, complexes 5a-c are likely produced quantitatively, as evidenced by



<sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra. In an independent experiment, **5b** was isolated in 76% yield as colorless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis. Subsequent reactions of 5a-c with LiAlH<sub>4</sub> in THF at room temperature afforded [Ph-PNP]AlH<sub>2</sub> (6a), ['Pr-PNP]AlH<sub>2</sub> (6b), and [Ph-PNP-'Pr] AlH<sub>2</sub> (6c), respectively, in high overall isolated yields. In general, complexes 2-6 are thermally stable but extremely sensitive to moisture. Compounds similar to 2b, 4b, and **5b** but derived from a tolyl backbone were prepared similarly.<sup>2</sup>

Solution NMR Studies of Symmetrically Substituted 2a-6a and 2b-6b. The solution NMR data are all consistent with a  $C_2$ -symmetric, five-coordinate structure for these aluminum complexes, in which the tridentate amido diphosphine ligand adopts a meridional coordination mode. Selected data are summarized in Table S1 (Supporting Information). The  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR spectra exhibit a singlet resonance with chemical shifts relatively upfield<sup>28</sup> as compared to those of the corresponding protio ligands (1a, -18.6 ppm; 1b, -13.3 ppm).<sup>-19,23</sup> An upfield change in the <sup>31</sup>P chemical shift is also observed for  $[NP]^-$  and  $[PNN]^-$ -derived<sup>16,17</sup> or other phosphine coordinated aluminum complexes.<sup>13,14,29–31</sup> The two aluminum-bound alkyl (2a-4a and 2b-4b) or hydride (6a-b) ligands are chemically equivalent. Both phosphorus donors in these organoaluminum species are bound to the aluminum center, as evidenced by the triplet resonances observed for the  $\alpha$ -carbon atoms in the  $^{13}C{^{1}H}$  NMR spectra. In general, the  $^{13}C$  chemical shifts of α-carbons in [<sup>*i*</sup>Pr-PNP]<sup>-</sup> derivatives are relatively downfield as compared to those of [Ph-PNP]<sup>-</sup> analogues due to bulkier and more electron-releasing properties of the pho-sphorus substituents in the former.<sup>29,32–34</sup> A similar

<sup>(24)</sup> Liang, L.-C.; Chien, P.-S.; Lee, P.-Y.; Lin, J.-M.; Huang, Y.-L. Dalton Trans. 2008, 3320-3327

<sup>(25)</sup> Liang, L.-C.; Chien, P.-S.; Lee, P.-Y. Organometallics 2008, 27, 3082-3093.

<sup>(26)</sup> Liang, L.-C.; Chien, P.-S.; Huang, Y.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 15562-15563.

<sup>(27)</sup> DeMott, J. C.; Guo, C.; Foxman, B. M.; Yandulov, D. V.; Ozerov, O. V. Mendeleev Commun. 2007, 17, 63-65.

<sup>(28)</sup> The factors responsible for the upfield shift of  ${}^{31}$ P resonances of these species are not clear at this stage. It has been argued (for instance, see ref 29) that a decrease in C–P–C angles upon phosphine coordination to aluminum would give a more negative  ${}^{31}P$  shift, but this study shows clearly a reverse trend (see Tables S3 and S4 in the Supporting Information). The difference in electronegativity in the M–P bond and the change in the  $\pi$ -electron overlap seem not to correlate well either, in comparison of the data reported herein with those of group 10 metal and lithium derivatives (refs 19–20, 22–23). (29) Barron, A. R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1988**, 3047–3050.

<sup>(30)</sup> Muller, G.; Lachmann, J.; Rufinska, A. Organometallics 1992, 11, 2970-2972.

<sup>(31)</sup> Barron, A. R. Organometallics 1995, 14, 3581-3583.

<sup>(32)</sup> Healy, M. D.; Ziller, J. W.; Barron, A. R. Organometallics 1991, 10, 597-608.

<sup>(33)</sup> Power, M. B.; Bott, S. G.; Atwood, J. L.; Barron, A. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3446-3451.

<sup>(34)</sup> Power, M. B.; Bott, S. G.; Clark, D. L.; Atwood, J. L.; Barron, A. R. Organometallics 1990, 9, 3086-3097.

#### 5482 Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 48, No. 12, 2009

dependence on the steric nature of aluminum-bound alkyls is also observed; that is, the larger isobutyl in 4 gives more downfield shifts for  $\alpha$ -carbon resonances than ethyl in 3 or methyl in 2.

The solution  $C_2$  symmetry observed for **2a-6a** and **2b**-**6b** is notably different from the  $C_{2y}$  symmetry found for the group 10 complexes<sup>19,20,22-26</sup> of these amido diphosphine ligands due to the presence of time-averaged symmetry planes in the latter, as evidenced by solution NMR data. Such a discrepancy is presumably ascribable to a slower exchange rate for aluminum species in a "flipping"<sup>35-37</sup> process involving two *o*-phenylene rings, as illustrated in Scheme 2. The steric repulsion between two CH moieties ortho to the amido nitrogen donor in the  $C_{2\nu}$  form is likely too much for M = Al to overcome the exchange barrier. A variable-temperature <sup>1</sup>H NMR study of **2b** in toluene- $d_8$  (60 mM) revealed that the two isopropylmethine resonances do not tend to coalesce even at 90 °C, suggesting a significant exchange barrier for the proposed flipping process in this molecule.

Interestingly, among the compounds investigated, complexes 3b and 4b exhibit two well-resolved multiplet resonances for the  $\alpha$ -hydrogen atoms (Figure S1e,f, Supporting Information), consistent with the anticipated diastereotopic characteristic. The chemical nonequivalence of the  $\alpha$ -hydrogen atoms in AlCH<sub>2</sub>R (R = H (**2a**,**b**), Me (3a,b), *i*-Pr (4a,b)) fragments is ascribable to the lack of symmetry in these molecules with respect to internal rotation involving the Al– $C_{\alpha}$  bonds.<sup>38</sup> With less sterically demanding hydrocarbyl groups incorporated (e.g., phosphorusbound phenyl in 2a-4a or aluminum-bound methyl in **2a** and **2b**), rapid rotation about the Al- $C_{\alpha}$ bonds becomes facile, and thus the diastereotopic  $\alpha$ hydrogen atoms are indistinguishable on the NMR time scale.

Solution NMR Studies of Unsymmetrically Substituted 2c-6c. With the incorporation of the unsymmetrically substituted [Ph-PNP- $^{i}$ Pr]<sup>-</sup> ligand, complexes 2c-6c are  $C_1$ -symmetric on the NMR time scale. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of these species exhibit two doublet resonances, consistent with concomitant coordination of the two distinct phosphorus donors to the aluminum center. Reminiscent of what has been found for the symmetrically substituted counterparts, the <sup>31</sup>P chemical shifts of 2c-6c are relatively upfield<sup>28</sup> as compared to those of 1c $(-14.8 \text{ for } P^i Pr_2 \text{ and } -16.7 \text{ ppm for } PPh_2)$ .<sup>25</sup> The <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PP</sub> coupling constants of 9 Hz for 2c-4c and ca. 49 Hz for 5c-6c are notably smaller than those found for the fourcoordinate divalent nickel species such as [Ph-PNP-<sup>i</sup>Pr] NiH (244 Hz),<sup>25</sup> [Ph-PNP-<sup>i</sup>Pr]NiEt (271 Hz),<sup>25</sup> and [Ph-PNP-<sup>i</sup>Pr]Ni(*n*-hexyl) (273 Hz).<sup>25</sup> Such discrepancy is perhaps a consequence of smaller P-M-P angles for the five-coordinate aluminum species than for the fourcoordinate nickel derivatives. The decreased P-M-P angles for the former appear to reflect somewhat greater



Scheme 2

steric repulsion between the phosphorus substituents and two aluminum-bound anionic ligands than that arising from one nickel-bound ligand due to distinct coordination geometries. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of 2c-6c exhibit two sets of resonances for the P'Pr<sub>2</sub> moiety, indicating the absence of a symmetry plane in these molecules. Consistently, the aluminum-bound alkyls in 2c-4c are chemically inequivalent. The hydride ligands in **6c** display a broad singlet resonance at 5.25 ppm ( $\Delta v_{1/2} =$ 80 Hz) due likely to the fast relaxation of quadrupolar aluminum atoms (<sup>27</sup>Al, I = 5/2, 100% natural abundance).<sup>39,40</sup> As anticipated, the  $\alpha$ -hydrogen atoms in **3c** and 4c are diastereotopic, as evidenced by the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (Figure S1h,i, Supporting Information).

X-Ray Studies. X-ray diffraction studies of 2a, 2b, 2c, 3b, 3c, 5b, and 6b established the solid-state structures of these dialkyl, dichloride, and dihydride complexes. Crystallographic data are given in Table 1. Selected bond distances and angles are summarized in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. As depicted in Figures S2-S4 (Supporting Information), the coordination geometry of these molecules is best described as a distorted trigonal bipyramid with the two phosphorus donors being at the axial positions, consistent with that established by solution NMR spectroscopy. The aluminum center lies perfectly on the equatorial plane defined by the amido nitrogen and the two anionic, monodentate ligands as evidenced by the sum (ca. 360°) of the bond angles involving these equatorial donors. The C-Al-C angles in the dialkyl 2a, 2b, 2c, 3b, and 3c are generally close to the ideal value of 120° for a trigonal-bipyramidal structure, but the Cl-Al-Cl angle in **5b** is relatively sharp, as anticipated from the standpoint of the higher electronegativity of Cl (3.16) than that of C (2.55). <sup>41</sup> Consistently, the Al-P distances of dichloride 5b are significantly shorter than those of dialkyls.<sup>27</sup> In unsymmetrically substituted **2c** and **3c**, the Al-P distance corresponding to the isopropyl substituted arm (2c, 2.5499(18) Å; 3c, 2.5700(13) Å) is notably shorter than that involving phenyl (2c, 2.7902(17) A; 3c, 2.8038 (13) Å), in agreement with the anticipated electronreleasing properties of these phosphorus substituents. The P-Al-P angles of dialkyls 2a, 2b, 2c, 3b, and 3c are slightly sharper than those of dichloride 5b and dihydride 6b. The discrepancy in P-Al-P angles of dialkyls versus dichloride or dihydride is somewhat in accord with the observed  ${}^{2}J_{PP}$  coupling constants found

<sup>(35)</sup> Schrock, R. R.; Seidel, S. W.; MoschZanetti, N. C.; Shih, K. Y.; MB, O. D.; Davis, W. M.; Reiff, W. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11876-11893

<sup>(36)</sup> Schrock, R. R.; Seidel, S. W.; MoschZanetti, N. C.; Dobbs, D. A.; Shih, K. Y.; Davis, W. M. Organometallics 1997, 16, 5195–5208.
 (37) Schrock, R. R.; Cummins, C. C.; Wilhelm, T.; Lin, S.; Reid, S. M.;

Kol, M.; Davis, W. M. Organometallics 1996, 15, 1470-1476.

<sup>(38)</sup> Waugh, J. S.; Cotton, F. A. J. Phys. Chem. 1961, 65, 562-563.

<sup>(39)</sup> Akitt, J. W. Prog. NMR Spectrosc. 1989, 21, 1.
(40) Delpuech, J. J. NMR of Newly Accessible Nuclei; Academic Press:

New York, 1983; Vol 2. (41) Pauling, L. The Nature of the Chemical Bond, 3rd ed.; Cornell University Press: Ithaca, NY, 1960; p 93.

Article

Table 1. Crystallographic Data for 2a, 2b, 2c, 3b, 3c, 5b, and 6b

	[Ph-PNP]AlMe <sub>2</sub> (2a)	) [ <sup>i</sup> Pr-PN	$P]AlMe_2 (2b)$	$[Ph-PNP-Pr]AlMe_2 (2c)$	
formula	C <sub>38</sub> H <sub>34</sub> AlNP <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>33</sub> I	C <sub>33</sub> H <sub>50</sub> AlNP <sub>2</sub>		
fw	593.58	1	549.66	525.55	
cryst syst	monoclinic	ma	onoclinic	triclinic	
space group	C2/c		$P2_1/a$	$P\overline{1}$	
a (Å)	19.5904(10)	15	.0610(3)	9.4805(3)	
$b(\mathbf{A})$	9.7111(5)	14	.6720(3)	11.5257(3)	
$c(\mathbf{A})$	18.8411(11)	15	.6740(3)	16.6500(6)	
$\alpha$ (deg)	90		90	108.584(2)	
$\beta$ (deg)	112.537(2)	108	.1360(10)	96.379(2)	
$\gamma$ (deg)	90		90	107.357(2)	
V(A3)	3310.7(3)	329	91.49(11)	1602.63(9)	
Z	4		4	2	
$D_{\rm calcd}$ (Mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1.191		1.109	1.089	
$2\theta_{\rm max}({\rm deg})$	50.68		50.06	55.28	
total reflns	10273		22662	22264	
independent reflns	3008		5796	5780	
abs coeff (mm $^{-1}$ )	0.184		0.180	0.182	
data/restraints/ params	3008/ 0/192	579	06/ 0/343	5780/0/325	
no. observed data	1795		4145	3398	
Rint	0.0983	(	0.0753	0.1074	
goodness of fit	0.977		1.051	0.847	
final R indices	R1 = 0.0957	R1	= 0.0582	R1 = 0.0767	
$[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$	wR2 = 0.2214	wR2	= 0.1148	wR2 = 0.2232	
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.1591$	R1	= 0.0883	$R_1 = 0.1316$	
	wR2 = 0.2943	wR2	= 0.1629	wR2 = 0.2612	
	[Pr-PNP]AlEt <sub>2</sub> (3b)	$[Ph-PNP-Pr]AlEt_2 (3c)$	$[Pr-PNP]AlCl_2 (\mathbf{5b})$	$[^{i}$ Pr-PNP]AlH <sub>2</sub> (6b)	
formula	C. H. AINP.	C. H. AINP.	C. H. AICLNP.	C. H. AINP.	
fw	485 58	553.61	408 36	420 47	
rvet evet	triclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	orthorhombic	
space group	PI	$p_{2}/n$	$\frac{p_2}{a}$	Pcab	
$a(\dot{\Lambda})$	9 19800(10)	9.7634(2)	172/a	11 6641(3)	
$u(\mathbf{A})$ $b(\mathbf{A})$	18 7320(2)	14.2656(4)	9 2626(3)	20.3264(7)	
$b(\mathbf{A})$	10.7520(2)	22 6357(6)	18 4636(6)	29.3204(7) 29.9414(9).90	
$\alpha$ (deg)	62 1130(10)	22.0557(0)	00	20.0414(0) 00	
$\beta$ (deg)	86 0810(10)	90	116 8740(10)	90	
p (deg) $y$ (deg)	87 6650(10)	90	90	90	
$V(\dot{\Delta}3)$	2899 17(6)	3151 01(14)	2625 13(14)	10241 9(5)	
7	2000.17(0)	4	2025.15(14)	16	
$D \rightarrow (Mg/m^3)$	1 112	1 167	1 261	1 114	
$2\theta$ (deg)	50.14	50.70	50.64	50.70	
total reflue	40129	18635	16573	31956	
independent reflas	10220	5650	4770	0158	
$abs coeff (mm^{-1})$	0 196	0.180	4770	0.214	
data/restraints/ params	10220/ 0/577	5650/0/344	4770/ 0/274	0158/0/505	
no observed data	8070	A212	3684	4596	
D.	0.0604	4212	0.0916	4320	
Nint readness of fit	1.061	1 107	1 224	0.1447	
final <b>P</b> indices	$P_1 = 0.0460$	1.10/ P1 - 0.0621	1.224 P1 - 0.1111	1.099 $P_1 = 0.0057$	
$I \to 2\sigma(h)$	$K_1 = 0.0409$ $WD_2 = 0.1116$	K1 = 0.0021 WD2 = 0.1405	KI = 0.1111 WD2 = 0.2116	KI = 0.0957 WD2 = 0.2129	
$\begin{bmatrix} I & 2O(I) \end{bmatrix}$ D indices (all date)	WK2 = 0.1110 P1 = 0.0655	WK2 = 0.1493 P1 = 0.0022	WK2 = 0.3110 P1 = 0.1202	WK2 = 0.2138 P1 = 0.2040	
K mulces (all data)	$K_1 = 0.0055$	K1 = 0.0933	K1 = 0.1398	$K_1 = 0.2040$	
	WK2 = 0.1217	wK2 = 0.1/04	WK2 = 0.3535	WK2 = 0.2/01	

for the [Ph-PNP-<sup>*i*</sup>Pr]<sup>-</sup>-derived dialkyls **2c**-**4c** versus dichloride **5c** or dihydride **6c** (vide supra). In comparison, the P-M-P angles for four-coordinate group 10 complexes of these amido diphosphine ligands are much wider, for example, [<sup>*i*</sup>Pr-PNP]NiH (175.05(4)°),<sup>25</sup> [Ph-PNP]NiMe (169.05(9)°),<sup>19</sup> [<sup>*i*</sup>Pr-PNP]NiMe (166.68 (5)°),<sup>19</sup> [Ph-PNP-<sup>*i*</sup>Pr]Ni(*n*-hexyl) (165.65(9)°),<sup>25</sup> [Ph-PNP] PdCl (165.27(11)°),<sup>22</sup> and [Ph-PNP]PtCl (167.30(8)°).<sup>20</sup> The sharper P-M-P angles for M = Al versus those of M = group 10 metals are ascribed to longer M-P bonds for the former, given that the M-N and M-X (X = C, Cl) distances are very similar. This leads to a closer contact for the two CH moieties ortho to the amido nitrogen in the  $C_{2\nu}$  form of the aluminum species and thus a larger dihedral angle between two N-phenylene-P mean planes in the solid state. As a result,

the flipping exchange barrier is much higher. Table S2 (Supporting Information) summarizes the dihedral angles of representative examples for comparison. To illustrate, two views of the X-ray structures of **2b** (left) and ['Pr-PNP]NiMe (right) are depicted in Figure S5 (Supporting Information), highlighting the spatial orientation of the two aromatic CH groups ortho to the amido nitrogen donor (top) and the "wedge" formed by the two *o*-phenylene rings in the ligand backbone (bottom). Notably, the "wedge" in ['Pr-PNP]NiMe is much sharper. In 2b, both CH11 and CH14 moieties are oriented such that the transient  $C_{2\nu}$  structure proposed in Scheme 2 is rather inaccessible. The corresponding space available for the nickel species to undergo such exchange, however, is much larger. Consistent with the relatively short Al–P distances and acute dihedral angles found for **5b**, the

Tak	ole	2.	Selected	Bond	Distances	(A)	) for 1	2a, 2	2b, 2	c, 31	b, 3c,	5b,	and	6b	ľ
-----	-----	----	----------	------	-----------	-----	---------	-------	-------	-------	--------	-----	-----	----	---

compound	Al-N	$Al-X^a$	Al-P
[Ph-PNP]AlMe <sub>2</sub> (2a)	1.940(7)	1.968(6), 1.968(6)	2.6202(13), 2.6202(13)
$[Pr-PNP]AlMe_2(2b)$	1.935(2)	1.969(3), 1.977(3)	2.6343(10), 2.6246(10)
$[Ph-PNP-iPr]AlMe_2(2c)$	2.019(4)	1.823(6), 2.045(5)	2.5499(18), 2.7902(17)
$[^{i}Pr-PNP]AlEt_{2}(3b)^{b}$	1.9287(19)	1.977(3), 1.986(3)	2.6514(9), 2.6578(9)
$[^{i}Pr-PNP]AlEt_{2}(3b)^{c}$	1.9400(19)	1.983(2), 1.985(2)	2.6300(9), 2.6234(9)
$[Ph-PNP-Pr]AlEt_2(3c)$	1.915(3)	1.978(3), 1.984(3)	2.5700(13), 2.8038(13)
$[^{i}Pr-PNP]AlCl_{2}(5b)^{b}$	1.932(10)	2.198(3), 2.198(3)	2.481(2), 2.481(2)
$[^{i}Pr-PNP]AlCl_{2}(5b)^{c}$	1.916(10)	2.201(3), 2.201(3)	2.497(2), 2.497(2)
$[^{i}Pr-PNP]AlH_{2}$ (6b) <sup>b</sup>	1.899(6)	1.3279, 1.3602	2.570(2), 2.590(2)
$[^{i}Pr-PNP]AlH_{2}(\mathbf{6b})^{c}$	1.913(6)	1.3747, 1.3677	2.543(2), 2.545(3)

 $^{a}$  X represents an  $\alpha$ -carbon, a chloride, or a hydrogen atom.  $^{b}$  The data summarized represent one of the two independent molecules found in the asymmetric unit cell.  $^{c}$  The data summarized represent one of the two independent molecules found in the asymmetric unit cell.

Table 3. Selected Bond Angles (deg) for 2a, 2b, 2c, 3b, 3c, 5b, and 6b
--

compound	N-Al-P	P-Al-P	P-Al-X <sup>a</sup>	N-Al-X <sup>a</sup>	X-Al-X <sup>a</sup>
[Ph-PNP]AlMe <sub>2</sub> (2a)	77.64(6), 77.64(6)	155.28(12)	96.12(18), 96.12(18), 96.36(18), 96.26(18),	120.5(2), 120.5(2)	119.0(5)
[ <sup><i>i</i></sup> Pr-PNP]AlMe <sub>2</sub> ( <b>2b</b> )	77.65(7), 77.31(7)	154.95(4)	96.30(18) 96.73(10), 95.72(10), 96.35(10), 96.20(10)	120.16(14), 120.14(13)	119.70(16)
[Ph-PNP- <sup><i>i</i></sup> Pr]AlMe <sub>2</sub> ( <b>2c</b> )	79.20(11), 73.36(11)	152.52(7)	90.20(10) 101.89(17), 100.13(15), 87.53(17), 06(71(14))	112.7(2), 124.8(2),	121.1(3)
$[^{i}$ Pr-PNP]AlEt <sub>2</sub> ( <b>3b</b> ) <sup>b</sup>	77.84(6), 77.79(6)	155.62(3)	96.71(14) 93.68(8), 99.08(8), 99.59(8), 92.58(8)	122.10(10), 122.50(10)	115.40(12)
[ <sup><i>i</i></sup> Pr-PNP]AlEt <sub>2</sub> ( <b>3b</b> ) <sup><i>c</i></sup>	77.97(6), 78.27(6)	156.23(3)	93.38(8) 93.74(8), 99.27(7), 99.60(7),	122.24(10), 122.58(10)	115.18(11)
$[Ph-PNP-^{i}Pr]AlEt_{2} (3c)$	80.21(8), 74.21(8)	154.40(5)	92.77(7) 104.72(11), 98.28(11), 88.12(11), 22.45(11),	117.70(14), 116.50(14)	123.66(16)
[ <sup><i>i</i></sup> Pr-PNP]AlCl <sub>2</sub> ( <b>5b</b> ) <sup><i>b</i></sup>	80.18(9), 80.18(9)	160.36(18)	92.46(11) 97.12(8), 93.93(8), 93.93(8), 97.12(8)	124.34(10), 124.34(10)	111.32(19)
[ <sup><i>i</i></sup> Pr-PNP]AlCl <sub>2</sub> ( <b>5b</b> ) <sup><i>c</i></sup>	79.86(9), 79.86(9)	159.72(18)	97.12(8) 96.95(8), 94.05(8), 94.05(8), 96.05(8),	123.00(11), 123.00(11)	114.0(2)
$[^{i}$ Pr-PNP]AlH <sub>2</sub> ( <b>6b</b> ) <sup>b</sup>	79.72(16), 79.31(16)	159.11(11)	90.95(8) 93.2,95.7, 87.6, 104.5	112.7, 127.4	118.8
$[^{i}$ Pr-PNP]AlH <sub>2</sub> ( <b>6b</b> ) <sup>c</sup>	79.41(16), 80.32(16)	159.60(11)	97.3, 86.8, 98.1, 91.4	104.5, 115.1,	139.4

 $^{a}$  X represents an  $\alpha$ -carbon, a chloride, or a hydrogen atom.  $^{b}$  The data summarized represent one of the two independent molecules found in the asymmetric unit cell.  $^{c}$  The data summarized represent one of the two independent molecules found in the asymmetric unit cell.

flipping exchange barrier of this molecule is the lowest among the aluminum species investigated. A variabletemperature <sup>1</sup>H NMR study revealed that the isopropylmethine resonances of **5b** (60 mM in toluene- $d_8$ ) coalesce at 80 °C. mers with activities of ca.  $4 \times 10^5$  g mol<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> atm<sup>-1</sup> or  $3 \times 10^4$  g mol<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

#### Conclusions

**Catalytic Polymerization.** Preliminary studies revealed that organoaluminum complexes of these amido diphosphine ligands are highly<sup>42</sup> active initiators for catalytic  $\alpha$ -olefin polymerization. In the presence of B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, **2a**-c reacts with ethylene or 1-hexene at room temperature catalytically to produce the corresponding poly-

We have prepared and characterized a series of organoaluminum complexes of diarylamido diphosphine ligands. Solution NMR and X-ray crystallographic studies reveal a five-coordinate nature for these species in which the tridentate amido diphosphine ligands adopt a meridional coordination mode. With the incorporation of the relatively rigid *o*-phenylene in the ligand backbone, phosphine dissociation from the aluminum center of these molecules was not observed, even in the presence of coordinating solvents such

<sup>(42)</sup> Britovsek, G. J. P.; Gibson, V. C.; Wass, D. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 429–447.

#### Article

as THF or Et<sub>2</sub>O. The solution symmetry of these aluminum complexes is notably lower than that of the corresponding four-coordinate group 10 derivatives. NMR studies indicate that the symmetrically substituted [Ph-PNP]<sup>-</sup> and ['Pr-PNP]<sup>-</sup> complexes are  $C_2$ -symmetric, while [Ph-PNP-'Pr]<sup>-</sup> derivatives are  $C_1$ . The  $\alpha$ -hydrogen atoms in these dialkyl complexes are diastereotopic. Depending on steric demand of the substituents at phosphorus and  $\alpha$ -carbon atoms, wellresolved multiplet resonances may be observed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. Interestingly, the <sup>2</sup> $J_{PP}$  coupling constants observed in [Ph-PNP-'Pr]<sup>-</sup> complexes appear to correlate well with the P–M–P angles (M = Al or group 10 metals) established by X-ray crystallography. In the presence of B ( $C_6F_5$ )<sub>3</sub>, these aluminum complexes are active initiators for catalytic  $\alpha$ -olefin polymerization.

## **Experimental Section**

General Procedures. Unless otherwise specified, all experiments were performed under nitrogen using standard Schlenk or glovebox techniques. All solvents were reagent-grade or better and purified by standard methods. All other chemicals were obtained from commercial vendors and used as received. The NMR spectra were recorded on Varian instruments. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are listed as parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane, and coupling constants (J) and peak widths at half-height ( $\Delta \nu_{1/2}$ ) are in hertz. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra are referenced to an internal solvent peak at  $\delta$  7.16 and  $\delta$  128.39, respectively, for  $C_6D_6$ . The assignment of the carbon atoms for all new compounds is based on the DEPT <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy. <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra are referenced externally using 85%  $H_3PO_4$  at  $\delta$  0. Routine coupling constants are not listed. Elemental analysis was performed on a Heraeus CHN-O Rapid analyzer. With multiple attempts, we were not able to obtain satisfactory analysis for some complexes reported herein due to extreme moisture-sensitivity of these derivatives.

**X-Ray Crystallography.** Table 1 summarizes the crystallographic data for all structurally characterized compounds. Data were collected at 200 K on a Bruker-Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.7107$  Å). Structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures against  $F^2$  using maXus or WinGX crystallographic software package. All full-weight nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions. The crystals of ['Pr-PNP]AlCl<sub>2</sub> (**5b**) were of poor quality but sufficient to establish the identity of this molecule.

General Procedures for Synthesis of 2a-c, 3a-c, and 4a-c. To a toluene solution of 1a, 1b, or 1c at -35 °C was added AlR<sub>3</sub> (1 equiv, R = Me, Et, <sup>*i*</sup>Bu). The reaction solution was naturally warmed to room temperature with stirring. After being stirred at room temperature overnight, the reaction solution was filtered through a pad of Celite, concentrated under reduced pressure, and cooled to -35 °C to afford the product as a pale yellow or colorless solid.

**Synthesis of [Ph-PNP]AlMe<sub>2</sub> (2a).** Colorless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown by layering THF on a concentrated toluene solution at -35 °C. Yield: 83%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz):  $\delta$  7.56 (t, 4, J = 7.5, Ar), 7.48 (t, 4, J = 7.5, Ar), 7.01 (m, 16, Ar), 6.92 (t, 2, J = 7.75, Ar), 6.59 (t, 2, J = 7.5, Ar), 0.14 (t, 6, <sup>3</sup> $J_{HP}$  = 6, Al $Me_2$ ). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202.31 MHz):  $\delta$  -19.66. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.5 MHz):  $\delta$  159.89 (m, C), 134.32 (m, CH), 134.16 (m, CH), 134.05 (s, CH), 133.32 (m, C), 132.80 (m, C), 132.48 (s, CH), 130.30 (s, CH), 129.75 (s, CH), 129.28 (m, CH), 129.21 (m, CH), 124.32 (m, C), 121.72 (s, CH), 121.02 (s, CH), -5.94 (t, <sup>2</sup> $J_{CP}$  = 32.13, Al $Me_2$ ). Anal. calcd for (C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>34</sub>AlNP<sub>2</sub>)(THF)<sub>2</sub>: C, 74.87; H, 6.84; N, 1.90. Found: C, 74.78; H, 6.87; N, 2.37.

**Synthesis of [**<sup>*i*</sup>**Pr-PNP]AIMe<sub>2</sub> (2b).** Colorless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown by layering pentane on a concentrated diethyl ether solution at -35 °C. Yield: 81%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz):  $\delta$  7.28 (m, 2, Ar), 7.02 (m, 4, Ar), 6.72 (t, 2, J = 7, Ar), 2.07 (m, 2, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.79 (m, 2, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.12 (dd, 6, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.07 (dd, 6, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.04 (dd, 6, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.85 (dd, 6, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), -0.10 (t, 6, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HP</sub> = 5.5, AlMe<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202.31 MHz):  $\delta$  -17.66. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.5 MHz):  $\delta$  160.89 (t,  $J_{CP} = 11.04$ , C), 133.19 (s, CH), 131.41 (s, CH), 121.43 (t,  $J_{CP} = 1.76$ , CH), 119.34 (s, CH), 119.22 (d,  $J_{CP} = 6.40$ , C), 23.31 (br s, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.80 (t,  $J_{CP} = 4.14$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.70 (t,  $J_{CP} = 3.14$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.63 (m, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.26 (t,  $J_{CP} = 7.41$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 16.91 (br s, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), -1.83 (t, <sup>2</sup> $J_{CP} = 34.45$ , AlMe<sub>2</sub>). Anal. calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>42</sub>AlNP<sub>2</sub>: C, 68.23; H, 9.26; N, 3.06. Found: C, 67.86; H, 9.25; N, 3.04.

Synthesis of [Ph-PNP-'Pr]AlMe2 (2c). Colorless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown by layering diethyl ether on a concentrated toluene solution at -35 °C. Yield: 78%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz): δ 7.55 (m, 4, Ar), 7.44 (dt, 1, J = 1.5 and 7.5, Ar), 7.22 (dd, 1, J = 5.5 and 7.5, Ar), 7.13(m, 1, Ar), 7.05 (m, 6, Ar), 6.99 (m, 1, Ar), 6.90 (m, 2, Ar), 6.69 (t, 1, J = 7.5, Ar), 6.61 (t, 1, J = 7, Ar), 2.01 (m,  $1, CHMe_2$ ), 1.77 (m, 1, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.01 (dd, 3, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.03 (dd, 3, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.00 (m, r, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.01 (dd, 3, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.05 (dd, 3, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.00 (dd, 3, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.09 (dd, 3,  ${}^{3}J_{HP} = 5$  and 5.5, AlMe), -0.06 (dd, 3,  ${}^{3}J_{HP} = 5$  and 5.5, AlMe).  ${}^{31}P\{{}^{1}H\}$ NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202.31 MHz):  $\delta - 15.63$  (d, 1,  ${}^{2}J_{PP} = 8.70$ , P<sup>i</sup>Pr2), -20.89 (d, 1,  ${}^{2}J_{PP} = 8.70$ , PPh<sub>2</sub>).  ${}^{13}C\{{}^{1}H\}$  NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.5 MHz):  $\delta = 122$  and  ${}^{23}C\{{}^{1}H\}$  NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.5 MHz):  $\delta = 122$  and  ${}^{23}C\{{}^{1}H\}$  NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.5 MHz):  $\delta = 161.7$  (dd, L = -1.29 and  ${}^{23}C\{{}^{1}H\}$  NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.5 MHz):  $\delta = 161.7$  (dd, L = -1.29 and  ${}^{23}C\{{}^{1}H\}$  (dd, L = -1.29) and (dd, L = -1.29) (dd, L = -1.29) and (dd, L = -1.29) and (dd, L = -1.29) (dd, L = -1.29) and (dd, L = -1.29) an MHz):  $\delta$  161.71 (dd,  $J_{CP} = 1.38$  and 18.83, C), 158.86 (dd,  $J_{CP}$ = 1.88 and 22.46, C), 135.50 (d,  $J_{CP}$  = 3.26, C), 134.79 (s, CH), 134.62 (d,  $J_{CP} = 3.64$ , C), 134.43 (d,  $J_{CP} = 15.19$ , CH), 134.22 (d,  $J_{CP} = 15.56$ , CH), 132.89 (s, CH), 132.21 (s, CH), 131.73 (s, CH), 129.71 (s, CH), 129.37 (s, CH), 129.19 (d,  $J_{CP} = 7.28$ , CH), 129.06 (d,  $J_{CP} = 7.28$ , CH), 126.71 (d,  $J_{CP} = 16.44$ , C), 121.90 (s, CH), 121.87 (s, CH), 121.14 (d,  $J_{CP} = 2.26$ , CH), 119.31 (d,  $J_{CP} = 3.77$ , CH), 117.39 (d,  $J_{CP} = 25.60$ , C), 23.05 (d,  $J_{\rm CP} = 6.90, CHMe_2$ , 19.97 (d,  $J_{\rm CP} = 12.30, CHMe_2$ ), 19.56 (d,  $J_{CP} = 5.90$ , CHM $e_2$ ), 19.43 (d,  $J_{CP} = 5.02$ , CHM $e_2$ ), 19.16 (d,  $J_{CP} = 11.92$ , CH $Me_2$ ), 17.16 (d,  $J_{CP} = 3.64$ , CH $Me_2$ ), -3.28 (dd,  ${}^2J_{CP} = 32.88$  and 33.01, AlMe), -5.17 (dd,  ${}^2J_{CP} = 28.74$ and 29.74, AlMe). Anal. calcd for C32H38AlNP2: C, 73.13; H, 7.29; N, 2.67. Found: C, 73.58; H, 7.34; N, 2.42.

**Synthesis of [Ph-PNP]AIEt<sub>2</sub> (3a).** Yield: 81%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz):  $\delta$  7.56 (m, 8, Ar), 7.03 (m, 16, Ar), 6.94 (td, 2, Ar), 6.59 (t, 2, J = 7.5, Ar), 1.31 (t, 6, J = 8.5, AlCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 0.80 (m, 4, AlCH<sub>2</sub>Me). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202.31 MHz):  $\delta$  -20.53. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.5 MHz):  $\delta$  160.38 (m, C), 134.39 (m, CH), 133.95 (m, CH), 133.86 (m, CH), 133.55 (m, C), 133.44 (m, C), 132.48 (s, CH), 130.19 (s, CH), 129.87 (s, CH), 129.31 (m, CH), 129.26 (m, CH), 124.04 (dd,  $J_{CP} = 28.80$  and 1.76, C), 121.74 (s, CH), 121.09 (s, CH), 10.82 (t, <sup>3</sup> $J_{CP} = 2.76$ , AlCH<sub>2</sub>Me), 3.49 (t, <sup>2</sup> $J_{CP} = 28.87$ , AlCH<sub>2</sub>Me). Anal. calcd for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>38</sub>AlNP<sub>2</sub>: C, 77.27; H, 6.17; N, 2.25. Found: C, 77.43; H, 6.55; N, 2.55.

**Synthesis of [**<sup>'</sup>**Pr-PNP]AIEt<sub>2</sub> (3b).** Colorless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown by layering pentane on a concentrated diethyl ether solution at -35 °C. Yield: 87%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz):  $\delta$  7.23 (dd, 2, J = 4 and 8, Ar), 7.02 (m, 4, Ar), 6.72 (t, 2, J = 7.5, Ar), 2.13 (m, 2, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.84 (m, 2, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.47 (t, 6, J = 8, AlCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.14 (dd, 6, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.43 (m, 2, AlCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 0.36 (m, 2, AlCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202.31 MHz):  $\delta$  -16.76. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.5 MHz):  $\delta$  161.00 (m,  $J_{CP} = 10.542$ , C), 133.16 (s, CH), 131.31 (s, CH), 121.56 (t,  $J_{CP} = 2.26$ , CH), 119.38 (s, CH), 118.89 (m, C), 23.71 (s, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.79 (m, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 16.58 (t, <sup>3</sup> $J_{CP} = 2.76$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 12.12 (t, <sup>3</sup> $J_{CP} = 5.40$ , AlCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 5.39 (t, <sup>2</sup> $J_{CP} = 32.00$ , AlCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. calcd for

C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>46</sub>AlNP<sub>2</sub>: C, 69.24; H, 9.55; N, 2.89. Found: C, 69.60; H, 9.21; N, 2.59.

Synthesis of [Ph-PNP-'Pr]AlEt<sub>2</sub> (3c). Colorless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown by layering diethyl ether on a concentrated toluene solution at -35 °C. Yield: 88%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz): δ 7.59 (dt, 2, Ar), 7.54 (dt, 2, Ar), 7.21 (m, 1, Ar), 7.13 (m, 1, Ar), 7.05 (m, 7, Ar), 6.99 (m, 1, Ar), 6.91 (m, 2, Ar), 6.68 (t, 1, J = 7, Ar), 6.62 (t, 1, J = 7, Ar),Ar), 2.07 (m, 1,  $CHMe_2$ ), 1.85 (m, 1,  $CHMe_2$ ), 1.47 (t, 3, J = 8,  $AlCH_2CH_3$ ), 1.26 (t, 3, J = 8,  $AlCH_2CH_3$ ), 1.09 (dd, 3,  $CHMe_2$ ), 1.06 (dd, 3, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.04 (dd, 3, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.84 (dd, 3, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.79 (m, 1, AlC $H_AH_B$ ), 0.57 (m, 2, AlC $H_2$ ), 0.50 (m, 1, AlC $H_AH_B$ ). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202.31 MHz):  $\delta$  -14.92 (d, 202.31 Mz):  $\delta$  -14.92 (d, 202.31 Mz): \delta -14.  ${}^{2}J_{PP} = 9.10, P^{i}Pr_{2}), -20.38 \text{ (d, } {}^{2}J_{PP} = 9.10, PPh_{2}). {}^{13}C{}^{1}H}$ NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.5 MHz):  $\delta$  161.89 (d,  $J_{CP}$  = 18.20, C), 159.25  $(dd, J_{CP} = 1.76 and 22.84, C), 135.65 (d, J_{CP} = 3.26, C), 135.26$  $(d, J_{CP} = 3.26, C), 134.78 (s, CH), 134.48 (d, J_{CP} = 15.19, CH),$ 133.89 (d,  $J_{CP} = 15.56$ , CH), 132.74 (s, CH), 132.15 (s, CH), 131.73 (s, CH),129.66 (s, CH), 129.39 (s, CH), 129.17 (d, J<sub>CP</sub> = 6.78, CH), 129.09 (d, J<sub>CP</sub> = 7.28, CH), 126.10 (d, C), 121.89 (s, CH), 121.85 (s, CH), 121.12 (d, *J*<sub>CP</sub> = 2.64, CH), 119.37 (d, *J*<sub>CP</sub> = 3.64, CH), 117.24 (d,  $J_{CP}$  = 24.72, C), 23.24 (d,  $J_{CP}$  = 5.52,  $CHMe_2$ ), 20.08 (d,  $J_{CP} = 11.42$ ,  $CHMe_2$ ), 19.68 (d,  $J_{CP} = 6.40$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.18 (d,  $J_{CP} = 8.66$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.12 (d,  $J_{CP} = 2.39$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 16.85 (d,  $J_{CP} = 4.52$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 11.54 (dd,  ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 3.64$  and 5.52, AlCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 10.96 (t,  ${}^{3}J_{CP} = 2.26$ , AlCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.75  $(dd, {}^{2}J_{CP} = 24.72 \text{ and } 30.25, AlCH_{2}CH_{3}), 3.64 (dd, {}^{2}J_{CP} =$ 26.98 and 27.36, AICH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. calcd for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>42</sub>AINP<sub>2</sub>: C, 73.74; H, 7.65; N, 20.53. Found: C, 73.70; H, 7.12; N, 2.48.

**Synthesis of [Ph-PNP]Al'Bu<sub>2</sub> (4a).** Yield: 78%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz):  $\delta$  7.65 (dt, 4, Ar), 7.51 (dt, 4, Ar), 7.13 (m, 4, Ar), 7.06 (m, Ar), 6.99 (m, Ar), 6.61 (t, 2, J = 7.5, Ar), 2.13 (m, 2, AlCH<sub>2</sub>CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.12 (d, 6, AlCH<sub>2</sub>CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.99 (d, 6, AlCH<sub>2</sub>CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.74 (m, 4, AlCH<sub>2</sub>CHMe<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202.31 MHz):  $\delta$  -20.12. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.5 MHz):  $\delta$  160.38 (m, C), 135.23 (m, CH), 134.63 (d,  $J_{CP} = 11.42$ , C), 134.38 (s, CH), 133.95 (m, CH), 133.54 (d,  $J_{CP} = 12.80$ , C), 132.58 (s, CH), 130.30 (s, CH), 130.11 (s, CH), 129.47 (t,  $J_{CP} = 3.64$ , CH), 129.30 (t,  $J_{CP} = 1.88$ , CH), 121.41 (s, CH), 29.73 (s, AlCH<sub>2</sub>CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 28.47 (s, AlCH<sub>2</sub>CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 28.05 (t, <sup>3</sup> $J_{CP} = 2.76$ , AlCH<sub>2</sub>CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 25.32 (t, <sup>2</sup> $J_{CP} = 26.10$ , AlCH<sub>2</sub>CHMe<sub>2</sub>). Anal. calcd for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>46</sub>AlNP<sub>2</sub>: C, 77.96; H, 6.85; N, 2.07. Found: C, 77.92; H, 6.36; N, 2.54.

**Synthesis of ['Pr-PNP]Al'Bu<sub>2</sub> (4b).** Yield: 89%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz):  $\delta$  7.23 (dd, 2, J = 3.5 and 8, Ar), 7.02 (m, 4, Ar), 6.72 (t, 2, J = 4.75, Ar), 2.16 (m, 2, C *HM*e<sub>2</sub>), 2.04 (m, 2, AlCH<sub>2</sub>CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.85 (m, 2, C*HM*e<sub>2</sub>), 1.33 (d, 6, J = 6.5, AlCH<sub>2</sub>CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.24 (d, 6, AlCH<sub>2</sub>CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.18 (dd, 6, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.14 (dd, 6, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.04 (dd, 6, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.84 (dd, 6, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.44 (dd, 2, J = 4 and 13.5, AlCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 0.29 (m, 2, AlCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202.31 MHz):  $\delta$  -17.56. <sup>13</sup>C {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.5 MHz):  $\delta$  160.56 (m, C), 133.08 (s, CH), 131.23 (s, CH), 121.87 (t,  $J_{CP}$  = 2.26, CH), 119.42 (s, CH), 118.93 (m, C), 30.29 (s, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 28.73 (t,  $J_{CP}$  = 6.90, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 28.47 (m, AlCH<sub>2</sub>CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 28.36 (s, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 24.00 (s, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 20.04 (m, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.88 (t,  $J_{CP}$  = 4.14, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.85 (t,  $J_{CP}$  = 3.14, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 18.96 (t,  $J_{CP}$  = 6.90, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 16.31 (t,  $J_{CP}$  = 2.76, CHMe<sub>2</sub>). Anal. calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>54</sub>AlNP<sub>2</sub>: C, 70.95; H, 10.05; N, 2.59. Found: C, 71.56; H, 10.45; N, 2.65.

**Synthesis of [Ph-PNP-**<sup>*i*</sup>**Pr]Al**<sup>*i*</sup>**Bu**<sub>2</sub> (4c). Yield: 88%. <sup>11</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz):  $\delta$  7.65 (t, 2, J = 7.5, Ar), 7.50 (t, 2, J = 8, Ar), 7.22 (m, 2, Ar), 7.12 (m, 2, Ar), 7.04 (m, 6, Ar), 6.92 (m, 2, Ar), 6.70 (t, 1, J = 7.5, Ar), 6.62 (t, 1, J = 7.5, Ar), 2.15 (m, 3, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.90 (m, 1, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.24 (m, 6, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.10 (m, 15, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.85 (m, 3, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.69 (m, 1, AlCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 0.64 (m, 1, AlCH<sub>A</sub>H<sub>B</sub>), 0.50 (m, 1, AlCH<sub>A</sub>'H<sub>B</sub>'), 0.42 (m, 1, AlCH<sub>A</sub>'H<sub>B</sub>'). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202.31 MHz):  $\delta$  -14.86 (d, 1, <sup>2</sup> $J_{PP}$  = 9.10, P<sup>*i*</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>), -18.90 (d, 1, <sup>2</sup> $J_{PP}$  = 9.10, PPh<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>,

125.5 MHz):  $\delta$  161.68 (d,  $J_{CP} = 18.32$ , C), 158.60 (dd,  $J_{CP} = 1.88$  and 23.85, C), 136.57 (s, C), 135.94 (s, C), 135.18 (s, CH), 134.57 (d,  $J_{CP} = 15.56$ , CH), 133.94 (d,  $J_{CP} = 15.56$ , CH), 132.73 (s, CH), 132.15 (s, CH), 131.66(s, CH), 129.43 (s, CH), 129.31 (s, CH), 129.08 (d,  $J_{CP} = 6.40$ , CH), 129.48 (d,  $J_{CP} = 7.41$ , CH), 127.61 (d,  $J_{CP} = 11.92$ , C), 123.13 (d,  $J_{CP} = 3.64$ , CH), 121.65 (s, CH), 121.60 (d,  $J_{CP} = 4.64$ , CH), 119.01 (d,  $J_{CP} = 3.64$ , CH), 116.52 (d,  $J_{CP} = 24.72$ , C), 29.82 (s, CH $Me_2$ ), 29.33 (s, CH $Me_2$ ), 28.71 (s, CH $Me_2$ ), 28.64 (s, CH $Me_2$ ), 28.21 (m, CHMe\_2), 28.11 (m, CHMe\_2), 26.13 (m, AlCH<sub>2</sub>CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 25.94 (m, AlCH<sub>2</sub>CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.75 (d,  $J_{CP} = 7.28$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 20.78 (d,  $J_{CP} = 4.52$ , CH $Me_2$ ), 19.04 (d,  $J_{CP} = 10.04$ , CH $Me_2$ ), 17.08 (d,  $J_{CP} = 3.64$ , CH $Me_2$ ). Anal. calcd for C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>50</sub>AlNP<sub>2</sub>: C, 74.84; H, 8.27; N, 2.30. Found: C, 74.78; H, 7.92; N, 2.35.

Synthesis of ['Pr-PNP]AICl<sub>2</sub> (5b). Method 1. To a prechilled toluene (3 mL) solution of ['Pr-PNP]Li(OEt<sub>2</sub>) (230 mg, 0.48 mmol) at -35 °C was added solid trichloroaluminum (64 mg, 0.48 mmol). The reaction solution was naturally warmed to room temperature with stirring. After being stirred at room temperature for 26 h, the reaction solution was filtered through a pad of Celite, which was further washed with toluene (ca. 2 mL). The combined filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and cooled to -35 °C to afford the product as a pale-yellow solid. Yield: 180 mg (76%). Colorless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown from a concentrated toluene solution at -35 °C.

**Method 2.** To a prechilled toluene solution (0.6 mL) of **1b** (8 mg, 0.02 mmol) at -35 °C was added MeAlCl<sub>2</sub> (0.02 mL, 1 M in hexane, 0.02 mmol). The solution was transferred to a Teflonsealed NMR tube and examined by <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectroscopy, which showed quantitative formation of **5b** in 15 min.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz):  $\delta$  7.29 (dd, 2, J = 4.5 and 8, Ar), 7.02 (t, 2, J = 7.75, Ar), 6.92 (t, 2, J = 6.25, Ar), 6.68 (t, 2, J = 7, Ar), 2.14 (m, 2, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 2.11 (m, 2, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.31 (dd, 6, J = 7 and 15, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.26 (dd, 6, J = 7 and 17, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.12 (dd, 6, J = 7 and 15.5, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.79 (dd, 6, J = 7 and 10.5, CHMe<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202.31 MHz):  $\delta$  20.10. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.5 MHz):  $\delta$  157.94 (dd,  $J_{CP}$  = 4.14 and 15.12, C), 133.45 (s, CH), 132.24 (s, CH), 120.14 (t,  $J_{CP}$  = 2.39, CH), 119.41 (t,  $J_{CP}$  = 2.76, CH), 115.87 (d,  $J_{CP}$  = 31.50, C), 22.71 (d,  $J_{CP}$  = 13.68, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.42 (d,  $J_{CP}$  = 18.20, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.06 (br s, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 18.21 (d,  $J_{CP}$  = 10.17, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 16.48 (d,  $J_{CP}$  = 6.90, CHMe<sub>2</sub>). Anal. calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>36</sub>AlCl<sub>2</sub>NP<sub>2</sub>: C, 57.82; H, 7.28; N, 2.81. Found: C, 57.24; H, 7.40; N, 2.73.

**General Procedures for Synthesis of 6a–c.** To a THF solution of **1a**, **1b**, or **1c** at -35 °C was added *n*-BuLi (1 equiv). The reaction solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and cooled to -35 °C again. Solid AlCl<sub>3</sub> (1 equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra (THF, 80.95 MHz) of a reaction aliquot at this moment revealed the presence of the presumed **5a** at -29.43 ppm, **5b** at -21.01 ppm, or **5c** at -18.11 (d, 1, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>PP</sub> = 47.64, P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>) and -30.84 (d, 1, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>PP</sub> = 47.64, PPh<sub>2</sub>) ppm. Solid LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (1 equiv) was then added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. All volatiles were removed in vacuo. The product was extracted with toluene followed by filtration with Celite. Evaporation of toluene under reduced pressure gave an off-white solid.

**Synthesis of [Ph-PNP]AlH<sub>2</sub> (6a).** Yield: 76%. The dichloride **5a** could be alternatively prepared in situ by the addition of 1 equiv of MeAlCl<sub>2</sub> to **1a** in toluene at -35 °C. <sup>11</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz):  $\delta$  7.70 (m, 4, Ar), 7.57 (m, 4, Ar), 7.17 (m, 4, Ar), 7.04 (m, 4, Ar), 6.99 (m, 8, Ar), 6.88 (td, 2, Ar), 6.57 (t, 4, Ar), 5.51 (br s, 2,  $\Delta v_{1/2} = 132$ , AlH). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202.31 MHz):  $\delta$  -27.35 ( $\Delta v_{1/2} = 4.7$ ). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.5 MHz):  $\delta$  159.27 (t,  $J_{CP} = 10.92$ , C), 134.63 (s, CH), 134.53 (d,  $J_{CP} = 7.28$ , CH), 134.15 (t,  $J_{CP} = 6.40$ , CH), 132.48 (s, CH), 132.25 (dd,  $J_{CP} = 10.54$  and 12.30, C), 130.95 (dd,

$$\begin{split} J_{\rm CP} &= 10.54 \text{ and } 9.16, {\rm C}), 130.67 \, ({\rm s}, {\rm CH}), 130.03 \, ({\rm s}, {\rm CH}), 129.42 \\ ({\rm t}, J_{\rm CP} &= 4.52, {\rm CH}), 129.19 \, ({\rm t}, J_{\rm CP} &= 4.52, {\rm CH}), 122.25 \, ({\rm dd}, J_{\rm CP} &= 17.44 \, {\rm and} \, 15.56, {\rm C}), 120.97 \, ({\rm t}, J_{\rm CP} &= 1.88, {\rm CH}), 120.34 \\ ({\rm t}, J_{\rm CP} &= 1.88, {\rm CH}). \, {\rm Anal. \ calcd \ for} \, {\rm C}_{36}{\rm H}_{30}{\rm AlNP_2}{\rm : C}, 76.44 {\rm ; H}, \\ {\rm 5.35 {\rm ; N}, 2.48. \ Found: {\rm C}, 76.03 {\rm ; H}, 5.09 {\rm ; N}, 2.37. \end{split}$$

Synthesis of ['Pr-PNP]AIH<sub>2</sub> (6b). Colorless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown by layering pentane on a concentrated diethyl ether solution at -35 °C. Yield: 83%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz):  $\delta$  7.40 (dd, 2, J = 3 and 8, Ar), 7.07 (t, 2, Ar), 7.04 (m, 2, Ar), 6.75 (t, 2, J = 7.5, Ar), 4.93 (br s, 2,  $\Delta v_{1/2} = 35$ , A1H), 2.07 (m, 2, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.84 (m, 2, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.21 (dd, 6, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.12 (dd, 6, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.08 (dd, 6, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.85 (dd, 6, CHMe<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202.31 MHz): δ –20.64. <sup>13</sup>C {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.5 MHz): δ 160.47  $(t, J_{CP} = 9.54, C), 133.60$  (s, CH), 131.59 (s, CH), 120.15 (s, CH), 119.53 (s, CH), 117.69 (t,  $J_{CP} = 11.92$ , C), 23.09 (s, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 20.12 (t,  $J_{CP} = 5.02$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.70 (t,  $J_{CP} =$ 2.76, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.33 (t,  $J_{CP} = 5.90$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.03 (t,  $J_{CP} =$ 3.26, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 16.78 (t,  $J_{CP} = 1.88$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>). Anal. calcd for C24H38AlNP2: C, 67.10; H, 8.92; N, 3.26. Found: C, 66.60; H, 8.13; N, 3.78.

**Synthesis of [Ph-PNP-**<sup>*i*</sup>**Pr]AlH**<sub>2</sub> (6c). Yield: 87%. The dichloride 5c could be alternatively prepared in situ by the addition of 1 equiv of MeAlCl<sub>2</sub> to 1c in toluene at -35 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 500 MHz):  $\delta$  7.68 (m, 2, Ar), 7.65 (m, 2, Ar), 7.35 (dd, 1, Ar), 7.23 (dd, 1, Ar), 7.15 (m, 1, Ar), 7.05 (td, 1, Ar), 7.00 (m, 4, Ar), 6.94 (m, 4, Ar), 6.66 (dt, 2, Ar), 5.25 (br s, 2,  $\Delta \nu_{1/2} = 80$ , AlH), 2.03 (m, 1, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.85 (m, 1, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.15 (dd, 3, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.11 (dd, 3, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.07 (dd, 3, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.84 (dd, 3, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202.31 MHz):  $\delta$  -17.19 (d, 1, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PP</sub> = 49.97, P<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>), -28.54 (d, 1, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PP</sub> = 49.97, PPh<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C {<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125.5 MHz):  $\delta$  160.68 (d, J<sub>CP</sub> = 17.32, C), 159.08 (d, J<sub>CP</sub> = 22.84, C), 135.06 (s, CH), 134.46 (d, J<sub>CP</sub> = 16.44, C), 133.28 (s, CH), 132.04 (s, CH), 131.98 (s, CH), 131.85 (s, C), 130.30 (s, CH), 129.77 (s, CH), 129.19 (d, J<sub>CP</sub> = 32.12,

CH), 129.15 (d,  $J_{CP} = 14.68$ , CH), 128.68 (s, CH), 123.08 (d,  $J_{CP} = 27.48$ , C), 121.24 (d,  $J_{CP} = 4.52$ , CH), 120.67 (d,  $J_{CP} = 3.64$ , CH), 119.85 (d,  $J_{CP} = 3.64$ , CH), 119.43 (d,  $J_{CP} = 4.52$ , CH), 116.84 (d,  $J_{CP} = 25.60$ , C), 22.91 (d,  $J_{CP} = 7.28$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.97 (d,  $J_{CP} = 13.81$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.51 (d,  $J_{CP} = 4.52$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.19 (d,  $J_{CP} = 11.04$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.00 (d,  $J_{CP} = 5.52$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 16.67 (d,  $J_{CP} = 5.40$ , CHMe<sub>2</sub>). Anal. calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>34</sub>AlNP<sub>2</sub>: C, 72.41; H, 6.89; N, 2.82. Found: C, 72.90; H, 6.33; N, 2.99.

**Catalytic Ethylene Polymerization.** A chlorobenzene solution (0.25 mL) of B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (2.5  $\mu$ mol) was added to a toluene solution (5 mL) of **2** (2.625  $\mu$ mol) at room temperature. To this solution was introduced ethylene (1 atm) at room temperature for 2 min with stirring. The reaction was quenched with MeOH (ca. 1 mL). All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure (ca. 100 mTorr at 70 °C) until the product weight remained constant.

**Catalytic 1-Hexene Polymerization.** A chlorobenzene solution (0.25 mL) of B(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (2.5  $\mu$ mol) was added to a 1-hexene solution (2 g) of **2** (2.625  $\mu$ mol) at room temperature. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and quenched with MeOH (ca. 1 mL). All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure (ca. 100 mTorr at 70 °C) until the product weight remained constant.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Council of Taiwan for financial support (NSC 96-2628-M-110-007-MY3 and 96-2918-I-110-011), Ms. Mei-Hui Huang for a preliminary study, Mr. Ting-Shen Kuo (at NTNU) for assistance with X-ray crystallography, and the National Center for High-Performance Computing (NCHC) for computer time and facilities.

**Supporting Information Available:** X-ray crystallographic data in CIF format for **2a–c**, **3b–c**, **5b**, and **6b**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.